

# Quasi Morphisms for Almost Full Relations

Dominique Larchey-Wendling

[@GH/DmxLarchey/Quasi-Morphisms](#)

Université de Lorraine, CNRS, LORIA (Nancy, France)

TYPES, Copenhagen, Denmark, June 2024

Intro. to WQOs

WQOs

AF in TT

Why morphisms

Inductive AF

Ind. rules

Transfers

Rel. morphisms

Quasi morphisms

Transfers

The easy case

General case

FANs and choice

Bar predicates

FAN theorem

Choice principles

Termination & AF

From AF to WF

Bounding search

# Introduction to WQOs and AF relations

Quasi Morphisms  
for AF

D. Larchey-W.

Intro. to WQOs

WQOs

AF in TT

Why morphisms

Inductive AF

Ind. rules

Transfers

Rel. morphisms

Quasi morphisms

Transfers

The easy case

General case

FANs and choice

Bar predicates

FAN theorem

Choice principles

Termination & AF

From AF to WF

Bounding search

# Well Quasi Orders (WQO)

- ▶ Classical defn. for  $R : \text{rel}_2 X$  (ie.  $X \rightarrow X \rightarrow \text{Prop}$ ) :
  - ▶  $R$  is a Quasi Order (refl., trans.)
  - ▶ Almost Full (AF):  $\forall f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow X, \exists i < j, R f_i f_j$
  - ▶ any  $\infty$  *sequence* contains a **good pair**
  - ▶ univ. quantified over  $\infty$  *sequences*, as classical wf.

# Well Quasi Orders (WQO)

- ▶ Classical defn. for  $R : \text{rel}_2 X$  (ie.  $X \rightarrow X \rightarrow \text{Prop}$ ) :
  - ▶  $R$  is a Quasi Order (refl., trans.)
  - ▶ Almost Full (AF):  $\forall f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow X, \exists i < j, R f_i f_j$
  - ▶ any  $\infty$  sequence contains a **good pair**
  - ▶ univ. quantified over  $\infty$  sequences, as classical wf.
- ▶ Important in computer science and mathematics
  - ▶ termination: terminator rule, Karp-Miller
  - ▶ decidability: relevance logic (Kripke)
  - ▶ polynomial ideals and Gröbner basis (Hilbert)
  - ▶ Dickson, Higman, Kruskal, Robertson-Seymour

# Well Quasi Orders (WQO)

- ▶ Classical defn. for  $R : \text{rel}_2 X$  (ie.  $X \rightarrow X \rightarrow \text{Prop}$ ) :
  - ▶  $R$  is a Quasi Order (refl., trans.)
  - ▶ Almost Full (AF):  $\forall f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow X, \exists i < j, R f_i f_j$
  - ▶ any  $\infty$  *sequence* contains a **good pair**
  - ▶ univ. quantified over  $\infty$  *sequences*, as classical wf.
- ▶ Important in computer science and mathematics
  - ▶ termination: terminator rule, Karp-Miller
  - ▶ decidability: relevance logic (Kripke)
  - ▶ polynomial ideals and Gröbner basis (Hilbert)
  - ▶ Dickson, Higman, Kruskal, Robertson-Seymour
- ▶ This AF notion is *constructively* too weak:
  - ▶ requires added constructively “acceptable” axioms
  - ▶ Count. Ch., bar ind. princ. (Veldman&Bezem 93)
  - ▶ Stumps and Brouwer’s thesis (Veldman 2004)
  - ▶ limited to relations over  $\mathbb{N}$

# AF relations in Inductive Type Theory

- ▶ *About Brouwer's Fan Theorem* (Coquand 2003):
  - ▶ intuitive explanation of this constructive weakness
  - ▶ Almost Full:  $\boxed{\forall f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow X}$  ...
  - ▶ only captures sequences  $\mathbb{N} \rightarrow X$  given by **laws**
  - ▶ bar ind. predicates capture arbitrary  $\infty$  sequences

# AF relations in Inductive Type Theory

- ▶ *About Brouwer's Fan Theorem* (Coquand 2003):
  - ▶ intuitive explanation of this constructive weakness
  - ▶ Almost Full:  $\boxed{\forall f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow X}$ ...
  - ▶ only captures sequences  $\mathbb{N} \rightarrow X$  given by **laws**
  - ▶ bar ind. predicates capture arbitrary  $\infty$  sequences
- ▶ Stronger (constructive) AF notions:
  - ▶ do not require added axioms
  - ▶ bar ind. predicates (Coquand&Fridlender 93)
  - ▶ ind. well-foundedness (Seisenberger 2003)
    - ▶ only for decidable relations
  - ▶ inductive AF relations (Vytiniostis *et al.* 2012)

# Why (quasi) morphisms are important

- ▶ Veldman's proof of Kruskal in Coq (DLW2015)
  - ▶ Major cleanup and refactoring (2022–24)
  - ▶ Morphisms used extensively

Quasi Morphisms  
for AF

D. Larchey-W.

Intro. to WQOs

WQOs

AF in TT

Why morphisms

Inductive AF

Ind. rules

Transfers

Rel. morphisms

Quasi morphisms

Transfers

The easy case

General case

FANs and choice

Bar predicates

FAN theorem

Choice principles

Termination & AF

From AF to WF

Bounding search



# Why (quasi) morphisms are important

- ▶ Veldman's proof of Kruskal in Coq (DLW2015)
  - ▶ Major cleanup and refactoring (2022–24)
  - ▶ Morphisms used extensively
- ▶ Surjective relational morphisms
  - ▶ Monotonicity, functional maps have drawbacks
  - ▶ But rel. morph. versatile tool to transfer AF
- ▶ Quasi morphisms
  - ▶ Emerged as an abstraction (was inlined)
  - ▶ Can be understood independently
  - ▶ Factors out FAN and bar inductive predicates

# Why (quasi) morphisms are important

- ▶ Veldman's proof of Kruskal in Coq (DLW2015)
  - ▶ Major cleanup and refactoring (2022–24)
  - ▶ Morphisms used extensively
- ▶ Surjective relational morphisms
  - ▶ Monotonicity, functional maps have drawbacks
  - ▶ But rel. morph. versatile tool to transfer AF
- ▶ Quasi morphisms
  - ▶ Emerged as an abstraction (was inlined)
  - ▶ Can be understood independently
  - ▶ Factors out FAN and bar inductive predicates
- ▶ The project published on opam – coq
- ▶ Description: [@GH/DmxLarchey/Coq-Kruskal](https://github.com/DmxLarchey/Coq-Kruskal)

# Almost Fullness inductively

Quasi Morphisms  
for AF

D. Larchey-W.

Intro. to WQOs

WQOs

AF in TT

Why morphisms

**Inductive AF**

Ind. rules

Transfers

Rel. morphisms

Quasi morphisms

Transfers

The easy case

General case

FANs and choice

Bar predicates

FAN theorem

Choice principles

Termination & AF

From AF to WF

Bounding search

# Inductive Almost Full relations

- ▶ Inductive predicate (Vytiniostis *et al.* 2012)
- ▶ For  $R : \text{rel}_2 X$ , define **af  $R$  : Prop** (or Type)

$$\frac{\forall x y, R x y}{\text{af } R} \langle \text{af\_full} \rangle \quad \frac{\forall a, \text{af } R \uparrow a}{\text{af } R} \langle \text{af\_lift} \rangle$$

# Inductive Almost Full relations

- ▶ Inductive predicate (Vytiniostis *et al.* 2012)
- ▶ For  $R : \text{rel}_2 X$ , define **af**  $R : \text{Prop}$  (or Type)

$$\frac{\forall x y, R x y}{\text{af } R} \langle \text{af\_full} \rangle \quad \frac{\forall a, \text{af } R \uparrow a}{\text{af } R} \langle \text{af\_lift} \rangle$$

- ▶ the *lifted relation*:  $(R \uparrow a) x y \doteq R x y \vee R a x$
- ▶ any seq. containing  $x$  ( $R$ -above  $a$ ) is  $R \uparrow a$ -good

# Inductive Almost Full relations

- ▶ Inductive predicate (Vytiniostis *et al.* 2012)
- ▶ For  $R : \text{rel}_2 X$ , define **af**  $R : \text{Prop}$  (or Type)

$$\frac{\forall x y, R x y}{\text{af } R} \langle \text{af\_full} \rangle \quad \frac{\forall a, \text{af } R \uparrow a}{\text{af } R} \langle \text{af\_lift} \rangle$$

- ▶ the *lifted relation*:  $(R \uparrow a) x y \doteq R x y \vee R a x$
- ▶ any seq. containing  $x$  ( $R$ -above  $a$ ) is  $R \uparrow a$ -good
- ▶ any sequence of liftings ultimately renders  $R$  full and

$$\text{af } R \rightarrow \forall f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow X, \exists_t m \exists i < j < m, R f_i f_j$$

# Inductive Almost Full relations

- ▶ Inductive predicate (Vytiniostis *et al.* 2012)
- ▶ For  $R : \text{rel}_2 X$ , define **af**  $R : \text{Prop}$  (or Type)

$$\frac{\forall x y, R x y}{\text{af } R} \langle \text{af\_full} \rangle \quad \frac{\forall a, \text{af } R \uparrow a}{\text{af } R} \langle \text{af\_lift} \rangle$$

- ▶ the *lifted relation*:  $(R \uparrow a) x y \doteq R x y \vee R a x$
- ▶ any seq. containing  $x$  ( $R$ -above  $a$ ) is  $R \uparrow a$ -good
- ▶ any sequence of liftings ultimately renders  $R$  full and

$$\text{af } R \rightarrow \forall f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow X, \exists_t m \exists i < j < m, R f_i f_j$$

- ▶ Enough for constructive Ramsey (Dickson's lemma):

$$\text{af } R \rightarrow \text{af } T \rightarrow \begin{cases} \text{af } (R \cap T) \\ \text{af } (R \times T) \end{cases}$$

# AF transfer: how to prove $\text{af } R \rightarrow \text{af } T$

- ▶ In the artifact of (Vytiniostis *et al.* 2012)
- ▶  $\text{af\_mono} : R \subseteq T \rightarrow \text{af } R \rightarrow \text{af } T$ 
  - ▶ limited:  $R, T : \text{rel}_2 X$  have same carrier type



# AF transfer: how to prove $\text{af } R \rightarrow \text{af } T$

- ▶ In the artifact of (Vytiniostis *et al.* 2012)
- ▶  $\text{af\_mono} : R \subseteq T \rightarrow \text{af } R \rightarrow \text{af } T$ 
  - ▶ limited:  $R, T : \text{rel}_2 X$  have same carrier type
- ▶  $\text{af\_comap} : \text{af } R \rightarrow \text{af } (\lambda x_1 x_2, R (f x_1) (f x_2))$ 
  - ▶ impose a shape  $R (f \cdot) (f \cdot)$  on goal

# AF transfer: how to prove $\text{af } R \rightarrow \text{af } T$

- ▶ In the artifact of (Vytiniostis *et al.* 2012)
- ▶  $\text{af\_mono} : R \subseteq T \rightarrow \text{af } R \rightarrow \text{af } T$ 
  - ▶ limited:  $R, T : \text{rel}_2 X$  have same carrier type
- ▶  $\text{af\_comap} : \text{af } R \rightarrow \text{af } (\lambda x_1 x_2, R (f x_1) (f x_2))$ 
  - ▶ impose a shape  $R (f \cdot) (f \cdot)$  on goal
- ▶ Transfers  $\text{af } R \rightarrow \text{af } T$  w/o those limitations
- ▶ Using surjective morphisms  $f : X \rightarrow Y$ 
  - ▶ surjective:  $\forall y : Y, \exists_t x : X, y = f x$
  - ▶ morphism:  $\forall x_1 x_2, R x_1 x_2 \rightarrow T (f x_1) (f x_2)$

# AF transfer: how to prove $\text{af } R \rightarrow \text{af } T$

- ▶ In the artifact of (Vytiniostis *et al.* 2012)
- ▶  $\text{af\_mono} : R \subseteq T \rightarrow \text{af } R \rightarrow \text{af } T$ 
  - ▶ limited:  $R, T : \text{rel}_2 X$  have same carrier type
- ▶  $\text{af\_comap} : \text{af } R \rightarrow \text{af } (\lambda x_1 x_2, R (f x_1) (f x_2))$ 
  - ▶ impose a shape  $R (f \cdot) (f \cdot)$  on goal
- ▶ Transfers  $\text{af } R \rightarrow \text{af } T$  w/o those limitations
- ▶ Using surjective morphisms  $f : X \rightarrow Y$ 
  - ▶ surjective:  $\forall y : Y, \exists_t x : X, y = f x$
  - ▶ morphism:  $\forall x_1 x_2, R x_1 x_2 \rightarrow T (f x_1) (f x_2)$
- ▶ But what about e.g.  $\text{af } R \rightarrow \text{af } (R \Downarrow P)$ ?
  - ▶ surjective on to carrier  $\{y \mid P y\}$ ?
  - ▶ unless assuming  $P$  to be Boolean...

# Surjective relational morphisms

- ▶ A restricted rel.  $R \Downarrow P$  has carrier type  $\{x \mid P x\}$ 
  - ▶ is an important use case
  - ▶  $P$  decidable/Boolean is too strong assumption

# Surjective relational morphisms

- ▶ A restricted rel.  $R \Downarrow P$  has carrier type  $\{x \mid P x\}$ 
  - ▶ is an important use case
  - ▶  $P$  decidable/Boolean is too strong assumption
- ▶ But the morphism *need not be a function!!*
- ▶ As a **relational map**:  $f : X \rightarrow Y \rightarrow \text{Prop}$  with
  - ▶  $\forall y : Y, \exists_t x : X, f x y$
  - ▶  $\forall x_1 x_2 y_1 y_2, f x_1 y_1 \rightarrow f x_2 y_2 \rightarrow R x_1 x_2 \rightarrow T y_1 y_2$
- ▶ We get  $\text{af } R \rightarrow \text{af } T$  under surjective morphisms

# Surjective relational morphisms

- ▶ A restricted rel.  $R \Downarrow P$  has carrier type  $\{x \mid P x\}$ 
  - ▶ is an important use case
  - ▶  $P$  decidable/Boolean is too strong assumption
- ▶ But the morphism *need not be a function!!*
- ▶ As a **relational map**:  $f : X \rightarrow Y \rightarrow \text{Prop}$  with
  - ▶  $\forall y : Y, \exists_t x : X, f x y$
  - ▶  $\forall x_1 x_2 y_1 y_2, f x_1 y_1 \rightarrow f x_2 y_2 \rightarrow R x_1 x_2 \rightarrow T y_1 y_2$
- ▶ We get  $\text{af } R \rightarrow \text{af } T$  under surjective morphisms
- ▶ Versatile tool, subsumes `af_mono` and `af_comap`
- ▶ Example of direct application:
  - ▶  $\text{af } R \rightarrow \text{af } (R \Downarrow P)$  (partial id. map)
  - ▶  $\text{af } R \uparrow a \leftrightarrow \text{af } R \Downarrow (\neg R a)$  (when  $R a$  dec.)

# Quasi morphisms

Quasi Morphisms  
for AF

D. Larchey-W.

Intro. to WQOs

WQOs

AF in TT

Why morphisms

Inductive AF

Ind. rules

Transfers

Rel. morphisms

**Quasi morphisms**

Transfers

The easy case

General case

FANs and choice

Bar predicates

FAN theorem

Choice principles

Termination & AF

From AF to WF

Bounding search

# Transfers using Quasi morphisms

- ▶ Inlined in (Fridlender99) and (Veldman04) proofs
  - ▶ a bit specific to this use case
  - ▶ but abstracts away the FAN theorem
- ▶ For transfers:  $\boxed{\text{af } R \rightarrow \text{af } T \uparrow y_0}$



# Transfers using Quasi morphisms

- ▶ Inlined in (Fridlender99) and (Veldman04) proofs
  - ▶ a bit specific to this use case
  - ▶ but abstracts away the FAN theorem
- ▶ For transfers:  $\boxed{\text{af } R \rightarrow \text{af } T \uparrow y_0}$
- ▶ An *evaluation* function  $ev : X \rightarrow Y$ 
  - ▶  $X = \text{analyses}$ ,  $Y = \text{evaluations}$
  - ▶  $E : \text{rel}_1 X$  are *exceptional analyses*
  - ▶ finite inverse image:  $\forall y, \text{fin}(ev^{-1} y)$
  - ▶  $\forall x_1 x_2, R x_1 x_2 \rightarrow T (ev x_1) (ev x_2) \vee E x_1$
  - ▶  $\forall y, (ev^{-1} y) \subseteq E \rightarrow T y_0 y$

# Transfers using Quasi morphisms

- ▶ Inlined in (Fridlender99) and (Veldman04) proofs
  - ▶ a bit specific to this use case
  - ▶ but abstracts away the FAN theorem
- ▶ For transfers:  $\boxed{\text{af } R \rightarrow \text{af } T \uparrow y_0}$
- ▶ An *evaluation* function  $ev : X \rightarrow Y$ 
  - ▶  $X = \text{analyses}$ ,  $Y = \text{evaluations}$
  - ▶  $E : \text{rel}_1 X$  are *exceptional analyses*
  - ▶ finite inverse image:  $\forall y, \text{fin}(ev^{-1} y)$
  - ▶  $\forall x_1 x_2, R x_1 x_2 \rightarrow T (ev x_1) (ev x_2) \vee E x_1$
  - ▶  $\forall y, (ev^{-1} y) \subseteq E \rightarrow T y_0 y$
- ▶ Quasi morphisms can be ext. to relational maps
  - ▶ requires several extra (technical) assumptions
  - ▶ used in [@GH/DmxLarchey/Kruskal-Veldman](#)

# Quasi morphisms: the decidable case

- ▶ This case is easy to understand, but less general
- ▶ Assuming  $T_{y_0}$  and  $E$  are decidable:
  - ▶  $\forall y : Y, T_{y_0} y \vee_t \neg T_{y_0} y$
  - ▶  $\forall x : X, E x \vee_t \neg E x$

# Quasi morphisms: the decidable case

- ▶ This case is easy to understand, but less general
- ▶ Assuming  $T y_0$  and  $E$  are decidable:
  - ▶  $\forall y : Y, T y_0 y \vee_t \neg T y_0 y$
  - ▶  $\forall x : X, E x \vee_t \neg E x$
- ▶ Surj. rel. morph. from  $R \Downarrow (\neg E)$  to  $T \Downarrow (\neg T y_0)$ 
  - ▶ rel. morph.:  $\lambda x y, \pi_1(\text{ev } x) = \pi_1 y$
  - ▶ surj. by finitary choice over  $\text{ev}^{-1} y$  for  $E$

# Quasi morphisms: the decidable case

- ▶ This case is easy to understand, but less general
- ▶ Assuming  $T \uparrow y_0$  and  $E$  are decidable:
  - ▶  $\forall y : Y, T \uparrow y_0 y \vee_t \neg T \uparrow y_0 y$
  - ▶  $\forall x : X, E x \vee_t \neg E x$
- ▶ Surj. rel. morph. from  $R \Downarrow(\neg E)$  to  $T \Downarrow(\neg T \uparrow y_0)$ 
  - ▶ rel. morph.:  $\lambda x y, \pi_1(\text{ev } x) = \pi_1 y$
  - ▶ surj. by finitary choice over  $\text{ev}^{-1} y$  for  $E$
- ▶ But  $\text{af } R \rightarrow \text{af } (R \Downarrow(\neg E))$  (always)
- ▶ And  $\text{af } R \Downarrow(\neg T \uparrow y_0) \rightarrow \text{af } T \uparrow y_0$  (by dec.)
- ▶ Hence  $\text{af } R \rightarrow \text{af } T \uparrow y_0$

# Quasi morphisms: the general case

- ▶ No dec. assumption on  $T y_0$  nor on  $E$ 
  - ▶ This case is not trivial
- ▶ The full argument in the artifact

# Quasi morphisms: the general case

- ▶ No dec. assumption on  $T y_0$  nor on  $E$ 
  - ▶ This case is not trivial
- ▶ The full argument in the artifact
- ▶ We just introduce the tools involved:
  - ▶ Bar inductive predicates and good lists
  - ▶ The FAN theorem for inductive bars
  - ▶ A finitary combinatorial principle

# FANs as finitary choice sequences

Intro. to WQOs

WQOs

AF in TT

Why morphisms

Inductive AF

Ind. rules

Transfers

Rel. morphisms

Quasi morphisms

Transfers

The easy case

General case

FANs and choice

Bar predicates

FAN theorem

Choice principles

Termination & AF

From AF to WF

Bounding search



# Bar inductive predicates and AF

- ▶  $R : \text{rel}_2 X$  and  $\text{good } R, P : \text{rel}_1 (\text{list } X)$
- ▶  $\text{bar } P : \text{list } X \rightarrow \text{Prop (or Type)}$

$$\frac{\frac{P \ l}{\text{bar } P \ l}}{\forall x, \text{bar } P (x :: l)} \quad \left| \quad \frac{\frac{R \ y \ x \quad y \in l}{\text{good } R (x :: l)}}{\text{good } R \ l}$$

- ▶  $\text{bar } P \ l$ :  $P$  is bound to be met...

$$\text{bar } P \ [] \rightarrow \forall f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow X \exists_t m, P [f_{m-1}; \dots; f_0]$$

## Intro. to WQOs

WQOs

AF in TT

Why morphisms

## Inductive AF

Ind. rules

Transfers

Rel. morphisms

## Quasi morphisms

Transfers

The easy case

General case

## FANs and choice

Bar predicates

FAN theorem

Choice principles

## Termination &amp; AF

From AF to WF

Bounding search

## Bar inductive predicates and AF

- ▶  $R : \text{rel}_2 X$  and good  $R, P : \text{rel}_1 (\text{list } X)$
- ▶  $\text{bar } P : \text{list } X \rightarrow \text{Prop}$  (or Type)

$$\frac{P \mid}{\text{bar } P \mid} \quad \left| \quad \frac{R \ y \ x \quad y \in I}{\text{good } R \ (x :: I)} \right.$$

$$\frac{\forall x, \text{bar } P \ (x :: I)}{\text{bar } P \mid} \quad \left| \quad \frac{\text{good } R \mid}{\text{good } R \ (x :: I)} \right.$$

- ▶  $\text{bar } P \mid$ :  $P$  is bound to be met...

$$\text{bar } P \ [] \rightarrow \forall f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow X \exists_t m, P [f_{m-1}; \dots; f_0]$$

- ▶ equivalences:

$$\text{good } R [x_1; \dots; x_n] \leftrightarrow \exists i j, j < i \wedge R \ x_i \ x_j$$

$$\text{bar} (\text{good } R) [x_1; \dots; x_n] \leftrightarrow \text{af} (R \uparrow x_n \uparrow \dots \uparrow x_1)$$

- ▶ derive  $\boxed{\text{af } R \leftrightarrow \text{bar} (\text{good } R) \ []}$

Intro. to WQOs

WQOs

AF in TT

Why morphisms

Inductive AF

Ind. rules

Transfers

Rel. morphisms

Quasi morphisms

Transfers

The easy case

General case

FANs and choice

Bar predicates

FAN theorem

Choice principles

Termination &amp; AF

From AF to WF

Bounding search

# The FAN theorem for inductive bars

- ▶ The product embedding for lists for  $R : X \rightarrow Y \rightarrow \text{Prop}$
- ▶  $\text{Forall}_2 R : \text{list } X \rightarrow \text{list } Y \rightarrow \text{Prop}$

$$\frac{}{\text{Forall}_2 R [] []} \qquad \frac{R \ x \ y \quad \text{Forall}_2 R \ l \ m}{\text{Forall}_2 R \ (x :: l) \ (y :: m)}$$

- ▶ define  $\text{FAN } l/w \doteq \lambda c, \text{Forall}_2 (\cdot \in \cdot) c \ l/w$ 
  - ▶ collects finitely many choices sequences

$$[c_1; \dots; c_n] \in \text{FAN } [w_1; \dots; w_n] \iff c_1 \in w_1, \dots, c_n \in w_n$$

# The FAN theorem for inductive bars

- ▶ The product embedding for lists for  $R : X \rightarrow Y \rightarrow \text{Prop}$
- ▶  $\text{Forall}_2 R : \text{list } X \rightarrow \text{list } Y \rightarrow \text{Prop}$

$$\frac{}{\text{Forall}_2 R [] []} \qquad \frac{R \ x \ y \quad \text{Forall}_2 R \ l \ m}{\text{Forall}_2 R \ (x :: l) \ (y :: m)}$$

- ▶ define  $\text{FAN } lw \equiv \lambda c, \text{Forall}_2 (\cdot \in \cdot) c \ lw$

- ▶ collects finitely many choices sequences

$$[c_1; \dots; c_n] \in \text{FAN } [w_1; \dots; w_n] \leftrightarrow c_1 \in w_1, \dots, c_n \in w_n$$

- ▶ FAN theorem for  $P : \text{rel}_1 (\text{list } X)$  (Fridlender 99)

- ▶ if *monotonic*:  $\forall x \ l, P \ l \rightarrow P \ (x :: l)$
- ▶ then  $\text{bar } P \ [] \rightarrow \text{bar } (\lambda lw, \text{FAN } lw \subseteq P) \ []$

- ▶ mono. predicates bound to be met uniformly /FAN

# Finitary choice principles

- ▶ Finite one dimensional choice:
  - ▶ for  $F, P, Q : \text{rel}_1 X$
  - ▶ if  $\text{fin } F$  and  $F \subseteq P \cup Q$
  - ▶ then  $F \subseteq P$  or  $\exists x, F x \wedge Q x$

Quasi Morphisms  
for AF

D. Larchey-W.

Intro. to WQOs

WQOs

AF in TT

Why morphisms

Inductive AF

Ind. rules

Transfers

Rel. morphisms

Quasi morphisms

Transfers

The easy case

General case

FANs and choice

Bar predicates

FAN theorem

**Choice principles**

Termination & AF

From AF to WF

Bounding search

# Finitary choice principles

- ▶ Finite one dimensional choice:
  - ▶ for  $F, P, Q : \text{rel}_1 X$
  - ▶ if  $\text{fin } F$  and  $F \subseteq P \cup Q$
  - ▶ then  $F \subseteq P$  or  $\exists x, F x \wedge Q x$
- ▶ Finite two dimensional choice:
  - ▶ for  $P : \text{rel}_1 (\text{list } X)$ ,  $B : \text{rel}_1 X$ , and  $lw : \text{list } (\text{list } X)$
  - ▶ assuming  $\forall c, \text{FAN } lw\ c \rightarrow P\ c \vee \exists x, x \in c \wedge B\ x$ 
    - ▶ any choice sequence satisfies  $P$  or meets  $B$
  - ▶ we have either:
    - ▶  $\exists c, \text{FAN } lw\ c \wedge P\ c$  ( $P$  contains a choice sequence)
    - ▶ or  $\exists w, w \in lw \wedge w \subseteq B$  ( $B$  is unavoidable)

# Termination using AF relations

Quasi Morphisms  
for AF

D. Larchey-W.

Intro. to WQOs

WQOs

AF in TT

Why morphisms

Inductive AF

Ind. rules

Transfers

Rel. morphisms

Quasi morphisms

Transfers

The easy case

General case

FANs and choice

Bar predicates

FAN theorem

Choice principles

**Termination & AF**

From AF to WF

Bounding search

# From Almost Full to Well Founded

- ▶ Induction principle from (Vytiniostis *et al.* 2012)

$$\text{af } R \rightarrow T^+ \cap R^{-1} \subseteq \emptyset \rightarrow \text{well\_founded } T$$

- ▶ small examples in *Stop when you are almost full...*



# From Almost Full to Well Founded

- ▶ Induction principle from (Vytiniostis *et al.* 2012)

$$\text{af } R \rightarrow T^+ \cap R^{-1} \subseteq \emptyset \rightarrow \text{well\_founded } T$$

- ▶ small examples in *Stop when you are almost full...*
- ▶ larger example: Karp-Miller (Yamamoto *et al.* 17)
  - ▶ deciding coverability for Petri nets
- ▶ revisited at [@GH/DmxLarchey/Karp-Miller](https://github.com/DmxLarchey/Karp-Miller)
  - ▶ decision: a covering or its impossibility
  - ▶ refined: Karp-Miller tree with accel. transitions

# Bounding search using Almost Fullness

- ▶ A constructive König's lemma:
  - ▶ for  $R : \text{rel}_2 X$  with **af**  $R$
  - ▶ and  $P : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \text{rel}_1 X$  with  $\forall n, \text{fin}(P n)$

$$\exists_t m, \forall v : X^m, (\forall i, P \ i \ v_i) \rightarrow \exists i < j, R \ v_i \ v_j$$

- ▶  $P$  as a finitely branching search space

# Bounding search using Almost Fullness

- ▶ A constructive König's lemma:
  - ▶ for  $R : \text{rel}_2 X$  with **af**  $R$
  - ▶ and  $P : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \text{rel}_1 X$  with  $\forall n, \text{fin}(P n)$

$$\exists_t m, \forall v : X^m, (\forall i, P i v_i) \rightarrow \exists i < j, R v_i v_j$$

- ▶  $P$  as a finitely branching search space
- ▶ is  $m$  obtained via bar  $P []$  and the FAN theorem
- ▶ Coq proof here: [@GH/DmxLarchey/Kruskal-FAN](#)

# Bounding search using Almost Fullness

- ▶ A constructive König's lemma:

- ▶ for  $R : \text{rel}_2 X$  with **af**  $R$

- ▶ and  $P : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \text{rel}_1 X$  with  $\forall n, \text{fin}(P n)$

$$\exists_t m, \forall v : X^m, (\forall i, P \ i \ v_i) \rightarrow \exists i < j, R \ v_i \ v_j$$

- ▶  $P$  as a finitely branching search space
- ▶ is  $m$  obtained via bar  $P []$  and the FAN theorem
- ▶ Coq proof here: [@GH/DmxLarchey/Kruskal-FAN](#)
- ▶ used for redundancy avoiding (proof-)search:
  - ▶ deciding Implicational Relevance Logic (IJCAR 18)
  - ▶  $m$  bounds height of irredundant search branches
  - ▶ at [@GH/DmxLarchey/Relevant-decidability](#)
- ▶ Friedman's  $\text{tree}(n)$  and  $\text{TREE}(n)$  monsters
  - ▶  $m$  guards termination of unbounded linear search
  - ▶ Coq code at [@GH/DmxLarchey/Friedman-TREE](#)